

Relentless Green Brainwashing

<http://www.anxietycenter.com/warning/main.htm> December 4, 2007



Anyone who has watched the Greens metastasize since the 1980s into a plethora of organizations all marching to the same drumbeat of lies to achieve the goal of changing everyone's lives—to "save the earth"—has to be both impressed and appalled by the zeal with which they seek to impose their will on everyone.

Starting in 1970, the Environmental Protection Agency is a perfect example of how a government agency that is supposed to tend to keeping the air and water clean, has expanded in size of its employees and the scope of its ever expanding enforcement of changes that, as often are not, make things worse, not better. I suspect our economy could be ever greater and far more dynamic if environmental laws and regulations did not make it virtually impossible to do something as fundamental to our energy needs as building a single new refinery since the late 1970s.

When you include some idiocy as mandating how much water a toilet can have for the purpose of flushing (too little in many cases, thus requiring two flushes) or the present contemplating of banning the incandescent light bulb, one can begin to grasp how, in literally thousands of ways, the Greens intrude on our lives and, most importantly, on our choices.

It can be argued that the federal government has now grown so large (and wasteful) that the very liberties and freedoms it was intended to protect are in jeopardy as bureaucrats and legislators find new things to regulate.

The current global warming craze, the complete invention of the environmental movement for which there is no scientific justification, is devoted to reducing "greenhouse emissions" in general and carbon dioxide in particular.

The problem for all of us is that there is no global warming other than the quite natural warming that has occurred since the last mini-ice age ended in 1850. No Green will ever admit to this, citing their many computer models while avoiding any reference to the meteorological data that has been created since the first satellites were put into outer space to take measurements and perform other miracles of modern technology.

On Thursday, November 29, just as on every day, I received yet another news release from yet another Green group. In this case it was Environmental Defense and, in particular, the Climate and Air Program headed by Peter Goldmark. Turns out they have just release yet another utterly bogus "study" called "Reducing US Greenhouse Gas Emissions: How Much at What Cost?"

Don't bother to ask. Like all such Green pronouncements it is filled with the most bilious calculations, but the one that is not mentioned is the one that demonstrates there is no global warming. Thus, reducing greenhouse emissions is a giant waste of time and money.

Simply stated, CO₂ constitutes 0.038% of the earth's atmosphere. Moreover, increased atmospheric concentrations of CO₂ actually lag behind global temperature increases anywhere from 800-to-2,000 years according to the Antarctic ice core record covering a 650,000-year span of time. CO₂ doesn't produce global warming; it follows in the wake of any warming.

Like those who used to read between the lines of *Pravda*, the Soviet era newspaper, in order to decipher what might actually be the new policies of Kremlin gremlins, reading a Green news release is replete with the usual brainwashing doublespeak. "It's up to Congress now to help companies grab the low-hanging fruit with a smart, market-driven policy," Goldmark said.

Translation: It's up to some pusillanimous, pandering congressman or woman to require further emissions reductions by yet more environmental legislation and regulation. Since ordinary people will not go along with the global warming hoax, it will be necessary for Congress to coerce them into doing unnecessary things.

The Environmental Defense proposal calls for "an economy-wide strategy." Translation: The price of everything must go up to quell consumerism. Energy must be made more expensive to force people onto mass transit. Et cetera.

And, of course, the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions will focus on "the main carbon-emitting sectors of the U.S. economy." Translation: Anything that requires coal, natural gas or oil to operation.

I suspect that every "environmental" or "science" reporter who received this news release began to salivate like a Pavlovian dog. The fact that the news release is about something that is not happening and responses that are unneeded, costly, and harmful to the economy, *will not even cross their minds*. (emphasis mine)