

Email to Defra, dated 10 January 2008, in ongoing correspondence.

Please be advised that my rather brusque tone is in no way intended as an attack on you personally, but just as it is frustrating for you to deal with so-called climate-sceptics, it is also frustrating for people like myself, who can not understand the official blindness to the truth. It is so blatantly obvious that an extra 110ppmv of carbon dioxide can not possibly influence the climate anywhere near the alarmist scenario.

Maybe you could see your way clear to attend the meeting detailed in the attached pdf document? What about going to New York for this conference: <http://www.heartland.org/NewYork08/newyork08.cfm> for some education on the subject of climate change, carbon dioxide and man's influence on his environment.

I am critically aware of the many and drastic influences which destructive man has inflicted upon his surroundings, but none of those involve any effect whatsoever on the world's climate systems and at worst affect his local air quality on a temporary basis.

The blindness displayed by Defra is typical of government departments, where everybody hides behind somebody else's advice and no one person takes responsibility or thinks for him/herself.

Any and all arguments that refer to information handed out by or based on the UN IPCC are fraudulent, as the UN itself has become one of the most fraudulent organisations ever to roam the corridors of power.

In effect, Defra et al are handing control of state affairs to a bunch of unelected UN bureaucrats. The UN has been discredited over many issues, AGW included, but you all chose to ignore the truth and run instead in step with the celebrated nonsensical and discredited consensus. What will open your eyes to this fallacy? You only see the UN's side of the story, but there are thousands of top-rated peer-reviewed papers out there by the very best brains in relevant scientific disciplines.

Instead, you regurgitate the claptrap from the UN IPCC and their Bali jolly? Wake up please, read, read, read and then see if you still have the same opinion on man's influence over the climate.

There is absolutely no way whatsoever that a bit of extra CO2 can influence our climate more than an almost immeasurable amount. Please be prepared to open your mind to some properly researched work and not the biased and false information fed to you by fanatical environmental terrorists who wish to force a redistribution of wealth onto the developed world, which will only result in hardship for all.

Come on now, do you really think that by cutting a few thousand Gigatons of CO2 from our atmosphere (quite an impossible task - work that one out for yourself by adding up all the proposed savings - I doubt that you will even reach 1 Gt, never mind a few thousand) will make the slightest difference to the climate? For your information, the atmosphere currently contains around 3×10^{15} kg (3 million Gigatons) of CO2, then work it out from there (based on information obtained directly from the Royal Dutch Meteorological Institute).

If you don't know where to start looking for properly researched articles, I suggest starting here:

http://www.iceagenow.com/Climatologists_Who_Disagree.htm plus further reading via the links

http://science.nasa.gov/headlines/y2007/14dec_excitement.htm?list1065474

explains why it's been cooling down

<http://nzclimatescience.net/images/PDFs/co2scandal.pdf>

<http://www.numberwatch.co.uk/Carbon.htm> plus further reading via the links

<http://www.heartland.org/Article.cfm?artId=22584>

<http://www.lahontanvalleynews.com/article/20080109/Opinion/974957979>

<http://mclean.ch/climate/IPCC.htm>

many articles to chose from here, all warrant reading to be informed

<http://sitewave.net/news/MaryEllenGilder.htm>

http://science.nasa.gov/headlines/y2006/10may_longrange.htm in case you don't believe in solar power

<http://icecap.us/images/uploads/Evans-CO2DoesNotCauseGW.pdf>

http://www.cscce.info/reports/report_20.pdf

106 pages of sensible information from 41 independent civil society organisations

<http://icecap.us/images/uploads/MM.JGRDec07.pdf>


http://www.forecastingprinciples.com/Public_Policy/WarmAudit31.pdf

http://www.ilovemycarbondioxide.com/pdf/Memo_0308.pdf plus further reading via the links

Via the above links you will find hundreds more articles by serious scientists who dare to speak up for the truth on climate change and are not dependent on government funding that is only channeled into institutions that promise to produce reports that support the political thoughts of the day (or were you not aware of that aspect?).

Carbon reduction schemes are a complete waste of time and effort (do your own calculations, don't take my or anybody else's word for it, simple math is all it takes), while carbon trading is a crime against humanity, merely exporting energy consumption to a place where it can not easily be seen to do any damage, enriching only those who trade in the credits and leading to an impoverishment of the Western world by forcing it to shut down factory after factory and not addressing any real issues of reducing consumption. In any case, the finished products will have to be imported back into the Western world, with extra packaging, transport, shipping and re-distribution - can't you see the fallacy in just that aspect alone??? But hey man, look how we have reduced emissions in the UK????!!!! Come on!

The ongoing cooling down of Earth (yes, that's right, Earth has been cooling down since 1998 - confirmed by NOAA) is a far greater problem facing us than trying to curb emissions, which will devastate economies and have zero effect on the climate - as it cooling down already in any case! And it will warm up again as well later this century, before yet again cooling - an ever ongoing cycle on a timescale that far exceeds our lifetimes - and driven by our Sun, not by some extra carbon dioxide.



2007 gets trumpeted as being amongst the 10 warmest in the past 113 years, but it also happens to be one of the coldest so far this century - but nobody mentions that?! And 2008 is predicted to be colder still?! Can you not see the fallacy in the media coverage?!

How come the trend is down when CO2 has been going up all the time?

Please do your research, I have done mine and I am sure that you will come to the same conclusions: man with his carbon dioxide emissions has no detrimental effect on our climate and reducing emissions will have zero effect on the world's climate.

It is not too late to stop the madness that is the man-made crisis of man-made global warming.

"Nothing explains the mad obsession with global warming quite so pointedly. It is becoming increasingly obvious that the global warming panic has little to do with temperature change and everything to do with man's control of other men with climate as the instrument."

(<http://www.lahontanvalleynews.com/article/20080109/Opinion/974957979>)

Much more serious issues need addressing and funding - urgently.

Kind regards,

Hans Schreuder
Analytical Chemist
mMensa
Attachment: see below



You are invited to the forthcoming

Hopley Lecture



arranged by

**The Minerals Engineering Society, Southern Group
and the South Midlands Institute of Materials, Minerals and Mining**

PIERS CORBYN of Weather Action

(Astrophysicist and Climate Scientist)

will be presenting

IS IT THE SUN OR IS IT YOU?

(ie Long Range Weather Forecasting and the Great CO2 Swindle)

Thursday 17th January 2008, 7 for 7.30 pm
at Willesley Park Golf Club, Ashby-de-la-Zouch, Leicestershire.

Piers has appeared as a global warming expert sceptic on
BBC News 24, BBC Radios 4 and 5
and in the Channel 4 'Great Global Warming Swindle' film



- *“There is NO evidence, I repeat NO evidence, that CO2 increases have ever had or ever will drive temperature rises or climate change.*
- *“ World temperatures have been declining - averaged in pairs of years - since 2002/03 while CO2 levels have been rising. There is no scientific data evidence that Carbon Dioxide has been the driver of world temperatures or climate over the last 1000, 10,000 or 100,000 years. .”*
- *Concerning Al Gore's recent Nobel award, "This award is a desecration of the good name of the Nobel Prize as it is for deliberate propagation of proven falsity. Gore's operation is brainwashing political pseudo science and maybe next we will hear about retrospective prizes to be given to Goebbels and Stalin for their anti-science propaganda machines."*

with Buffet Refreshments provided