

10 December 2009.

Prof. T. Grove
Dept of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences
Building 564 – Rm. 1220a
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
77 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge
MA 02139
USA

Dear Prof. Grove

Re: Dr. P Jones, CRU

I am writing to you, of course, in your capacity as President of the American Geophysical Union. In the light of what is to follow, I make clear that this is done on my own account alone. I am also travelling. In consequence, I shall necessarily have to rely on email as a principal means of communication, but formally will confirm by post as and when this is convenient.

Two letters have now been sent in connection with the recent election of this gentleman to a Fellowship of your Union. The second dated 27 September was a follow-up to a precursor dated 27 August. Both were addressed to your associate in the AGU, Prof. Alan Robock, and both were copied to you either by email or post or both. The first was formally signed by me but, far more to the point, carried the names of some forty eight co-signatories, many of them scientific practitioners of great distinction, including three members of the AGU and five contributors to Assessment Reports of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Notwithstanding this, no acknowledgement has been forthcoming either from you or from Prof. Robock.

Of course, in connection with Prof. Jones and the Climate Research Unit of the University of East Anglia of which he is titular head, as well as in connection with many other notional climate researchers on both sides of the Atlantic, the past month has witnessed events which, it is no exaggeration to say, have reverberated around the world. Gross scientific malfeasance has been revealed in all its nakedness. In the round, would it be inaccurate to suggest that this amounts to outright fraud and a ram raid on the tax payers of many countries but, for the purposes of this letter, of the USA and the UK in particular? You will no doubt have your own views but, for myself, I think not.

I wish to notify you that I now have further information, which is directly relevant to unfolding events. In particular, I am aware of the specific content of a telephone interview of Prof. Robock conducted by OpEd journalist, Mr. Paul Sheridan. The following points are not exhaustive, but are indicative of what Prof. Robock asserts during the course of the exchanges, namely THAT:

- I and others who question the validity of global warming theory are simply out to “destroy the science of climate change” and, in furtherance of this objective, wasting the time of climate scientists such as himself is the tactic of choice. As an interested scientific layman, my personal reaction to this diatribe is to say “Rubbish!” But, if what Prof. Robock chooses to designate as “climate science” is that easy to destroy, then perhaps it is time it was.
- I and others are in the pay of third parties. Of me and I am sure of them, that is an outright lie, which is scurrilous and defamatory.
- Data from Dr. Jones is, and has always been, available to anyone with a serious purpose who requested it. That this statement is wholly untrue legitimate dissenters from the received orthodoxy have always known. This knowledge has now been confirmed in spectacular fashion. Consequently, in making such a statement it is self-evident that Prof. Robock was also lying.

- Prof. Pat Michaels was “fired” from his post at the University of Virginia because he was “an embarrassment”, and now works for a right wing “think tank”, takes money to deny global warming theory and dishonestly misrepresents the science. Probed as to the accuracy of such statements, Prof. Robock retreats, and ultimately acknowledges that Prof. Michaels was not “fired” because he was an embarrassment, but left when funding ceased. It is clear that Prof. Robock’s attitude to dissenting fellow scientists in the field is paranoid, tetchy, defensive and grossly discourteous. In passing, it is also pusillanimous and defamatory. These charges which I now bring against Prof. Robock are further substantiated by his comments regarding Profs. Richard Lindzen, Fred Singer, John Christy, Roy Spencer, Bob Balling, all of whom are said to take money for denying orthodox climate change science and, in so doing, “are very dishonest about it”. Prof. Lindzen, in particular, is driven by his alleged right wing politics. All have money squarely at the forefront of their thinking.
- On the timescale pertaining to Al Gore’s “An Inconvenient Truth”, contrary to what is stated in the film, it is temperature that is driving rises in atmospheric concentrations of CO₂ not the other way around. And this from a supposedly leading anthropogenic atmospheric scientist! In an absolutely crucial aspect - in fact, together with the “hockey stick” graph, perhaps the central illustration - of his Oscar winning production, either Mr. Gore was simply plain ignorant or he was lying. No doubt this will come as an interesting revelation both to him and his many admirers!
- In stating that the IPCC prepared policy statements before the science was complete, Prof. Christie was being economical with the truth. Really? Would Prof. Robock care to elaborate? I am sure he would not!

Let us not beat about the bush. Recent disclosures have revealed not only a multi-stranded conspiracy amongst anthropogenic global warming protagonists generally, but in particular have specifically focused on Dr. Jones as a knave and a charlatan – not just dishonest but inept to boot. Everything that was asserted in my letter of 27 August, let it be noted two months predating the internet CRU postings, have been vindicated by these disclosures. Prof. Robock’s and your own failure to acknowledge the contents of that letter indicates a stance that can only be described as collusive. Collusion in malfeasance is not one whit less reprehensible than the malfeasance itself. If it transpires that criminality has been involved then, of collusion in that, the same will likewise be true. Prof. Robock is, however, right about one thing – money in unimagined quantities is at the core of the AGW scam.

I intend, if I can, to release this letter into the public domain.

Yours sincerely

R.C.E. Wyndham

Cc: Prof. Alan Robock	Prof. Pat Michaels	Prof. Richard Lindzen	Prof. Fred Singer
Prof. John Christy	Prof. Roy Spencer	Prof. R. Balling	Prime Minister
Ed Miliband, MP	D. Cameron, MP	Nick Clegg, MP	Peter Lilley, MP
Lord Rees Lord Lawson	Lord Leach	Lord Monckton	Dr. Rajendra Pachauri

Sen. James Inhofe
453 Russell Senate Office Bldg
Washington DC 20519-3603
USA

Dr. Richard L. McCormick
Office of the President
Rutgers State University of New Jersey
83 Somerset Street
New Brunswick
NJ 08901
USA

Dr. Susan Hockfield
Office of the President
MIT
77 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge
MA 02139-4307
USA

As the spirit moves