

RANDOM SELECTION OF REFERENCES TO DEBUNK AGW

Compiled by Hans Schreuder, 1 July 2014 – from articles in the first half of 2014

Despite the ever-ongoing rhetoric about the dangers of the emissions of carbon dioxide by human activity, there has never ever yet been one single piece of actual [real-world scientific evidence](#) to link all of the atmospheric carbon dioxide to global warming, let alone the miserly alleged 4% of human additions. Even that 4%, as proposed by the [UN IPCC](#), has now been called into question. Below is just a small selection of articles and essays to debunk the most outrageous recent claims by those humans and organisations supposedly caring for our world. Once you realise the serious damage to the environment caused by wind turbines, solar PV panels and biomass schemes it becomes obvious that the entire circus around the man-made global warming, cum climate change, cum climate disruption is nothing more than a politically driven mass-scare technique.

When future generations try to understand how the world got carried away around the end of the 20th century by the panic over global warming, few things will amaze them more than the part played in stoking up the scare by the fiddling of official temperature data. There was already much evidence of this seven years ago, when I was writing my history of the scare, *The Real Global Warming Disaster*. But now another damning example has been uncovered by Steven Goddard's US blog *Real Science*, showing how shamelessly manipulated has been one of the world's most influential climate records, the graph of US surface temperature records published by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). <http://www.principia-scientific.org/the-scandal-of-fiddled-global-warming-data.html>

[Real Science's 'Steven Goddard' Outs Himself – Reveals True Identity: 'My name is Tony Heller. I am a whistle blower'](#)

Heller: 'I have worked as a contract software developer on climate and weather models for the US government.' - The radiative transfer models used by government climate scientists show that going up to 550 PPM or even 1000 PPM CO₂ will make minimal difference to the radiative balance of the atmosphere.

'The temperature record of both the US and the world has been massively altered since older versions, almost invariably to create the appearance of more warming, and in some cases to create warming where there is none.'

'If you want to learn more about climate history, I have assembled a [large collection of old newspaper articles](#) about the weather below 350 PPM CO₂. If you read through this, you will understand that lowering CO₂ will not make the weather any better, or worse.'

Man-made global warming promoters claim the high correlation between carbon dioxide (CO₂) and atmospheric temperature (T) in the 420,000 year ice core record proves CO₂ causes T to change. Herein is demonstrated how the evidence conflicts with that belief.

<http://www.principia-scientific.org/atmospheric-carbon-dioxide-lags-temperature-the-proof.html>

The shortcomings in much government-funded climate research are too broad to be addressed in one article but herein we highlight three key areas of concern; (1) biased peer review in prominent journals and among national institutions, (2) misidentification of the role of carbon dioxide in climate and (3) a systemic avoidance of increasingly compelling evidence for global cooling. <http://www.principia-scientific.org/trilogy-of-failure-in-global-warming-science.html>

[Oops. New Study finds West Antarctic Ice Sheet outlet glacier being melted by magma – not co2 global warming after all – Published in the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences](#)

Giant of Geology/Glaciology Rejects Warmism

Christian Schlüchter is Professor emeritus for Quaternary Geology and Paleoclimatology at the University of Bern in Switzerland. He has authored/co-authored over 250 papers. This post is about an interview by the online Swiss Der Bund with Swiss geology giant Christian Schlüchter titled: "Our society is fundamentally dishonest". In it he criticizes climate science for its extreme tunnel vision and political contamination. Hat tip to GreenieWatch

<http://notrickszone.com/2014/06/09/giant-of-geologyglaciology-christian-schluechter-refutes-co2-feature-interview-throws-climate-science-into-disarray/>

Scientist: 'The theory of AGW falls short when judged as a scientific theory. But then, this is not really science, it is ideology verging on religious fanaticism' – 'The term carbon pollution itself is a canard, a lie, a pernicious falsehood'

Nonconsensus: 'The society has actually asked its members what they think. What a refreshing contrast to the learned societies in the UK, whose politically inclined leaderships are happy to issue statements in the names of their members without batting an eyelid'

IPCC Lead Author Trashes 97% Consensus claims: UN IPCC Lead Author & University of Sussex economist Dr. Richard Tol: 'Science is, of course, never settled.'

Tol: 'The 97% estimate is bandied about by basically everybody. I had a close look at what this study really did. as far as I can see, The estimate just crumbles when you touch it. None of the statements in the papers are supported by the data that's in the paper. The 97% is essentially pulled from thin air, it is not based on any credible research whatsoever.'

UN IPCC Lead Author Dr. Richard Tol Rips 97% consensus claim: 'The 97% is essentially pulled from thin air, it is not based on any credible research whatsoever'

'This is pointless, partisan pandering to the small but noisy green lobby' - 'Could lead to the shuttering of "hundreds of coal-fired power plants.' - China has been on a coal-plant-building spree. Between 2005 and 2009, that country reportedly "added the equivalent of the entire U.S. fleet of coal-fired power plants, or 510 new 600-megawatt coal plants." Over the next four years, it "added half the coal generation of the entire U.S. again."

Then there's Germany. Last November, a new coal plant came online in that country. Ten additional new coal plants are expected to swing into action within the next two years. German politicians say they're concerned about climate change, but they've chosen to authorize the construction of new, CO₂-emitting coal plants.

Analysis: Obama, Coal & Climate – 'An utterly futile climate-change gesture'

Meteorologist Joe D'Aleo: 'Why Obama's EPA plan is not needed and dangerous to our country' – 'CO₂, which is conflated with soot, is a harmless (actually beneficial) gas and every breathe every human makes has 100 times as much CO₂ as is in ambient air'

'The new regulations will inflict great pain for little or no gain. It is government run amuck. This enviro driven frenzy almost destroyed Europe before the people and government began pushing back...Carbon pollution is 'soot' which we don't have a problem with - the EPA's own data shows in fact it is well below EPA standards, declining 50% since 1999. CO₂ which is conflated with soot is a harmless (actually beneficial) gas and every breathe every human makes has 100 times as much CO₂ as is in ambient air.'

Scientists Admit Polar Bear Numbers Were Made Up To 'Satisfy Public Demand'

Researchers with the IUCN Polar Bear Specialist Group (PBSG) recently admitted to experienced zoologist and polar bear specialist Susan Crockford that the estimate given for the total number of polar bars in the Arctic was "simply a qualified guess given to satisfy public demand."

British Antarctic survey dynamites W. Antarctic scare.

Media reports have hyped the collapse of several large western Antarctic glaciers, quoting scientists who said the melting ice could raise sea levels by another 4 feet. Left-leaning news outlets ran with headlines like "This Ice Sheet Will Unleash a Global Superstorm Sandy That Never Ends" and "Global warming: it's a point of no return in West Antarctica."

"The collapse of this sector of West Antarctica appears to be unstoppable," said NASA glaciologist Eric Rignot, whose research on the collapsing ice sheets made waves. But as BAS research shows, the collapse of Antarctic glaciers is nothing new. In fact, studies show this has been happening for thousands of years — without the help of mankind. <http://dailycaller.com/2014/05/28/mankind-not-causing-west-antarctic-glaciers-to-collapse/2/>

[Scientist Dr. Daniel Botkin Tells Congress why he reversed his belief in global warming to become a skeptic: 'There are several lines of evidence suggesting that it \(AGW\) is a weaker case today, not a stronger case' — Rips Obama climate report as 'filled with misstatements contradicted by well-established and well-known scientific papers'](#)

[Another Prominent Scientist Dissents: Environmental physicist Dr. Jean-Louis Pinault: 'This is a very uneven debate, skeptics cannot -enforce their arguments in scientific journals that are subject to censorship' – Declares AGW has produced an 'economic and political media frenzy unprecedented in the history of science'](#)

Pinault rips current peer-review process: 'I resigned myself to suspend submitting my work in peer-reviewed scientific journals, giving priority to dissemination of results.' Dr. Pinault's research finds solar variations impact climate: He has developed a model, which he supports with extensive statistical analyses of global spatio-temporal data, whereby relatively small solar variations (relative to the large variations occurring on the lifetime of the Sun) acquire leverage on global climate via an oceanic resonance tuning that operates on the global ocean oscillations on Earth. Writes [new book](#): "[From the melody of the oceans to climate change: a fight against ostracism](#)", and was just released on May 10, 2014. 'Gyral wave resonance should reconcile the community of oceanographers and climatologists, skeptical or not. But subjected to the ubiquitous ostracism in 'official' scientific circles, I resigned myself to suspend submitting my work in peer-reviewed scientific journals, giving priority to dissemination of results.'

Physicist Dr. Denis Rancourt praises Pinault: 'If Pinault is correct, then it will be even more reason to conclude that modern CO₂ increases don't matter and never mattered, regarding modern climate change.'

[The Petition Project](#) in which 31,073 U.S. scientists, over 9,000 of whom have a Ph.D. in a scientific field, participated says "There is no convincing scientific evidence that human release of carbon dioxide, methane, or other greenhouse gases is causing or will in the foreseeable future cause catastrophic heating of the Earth's atmosphere and disruption of the Earth's climate."

"The purpose of the Petition Project is to demonstrate that the claim of 'settled science' and an overwhelming 'consensus' in favor of the hypothesis of human-caused global warming and consequent climatological damage is wrong. No such consensus or settled science exists."

From [America's Highest Ranked Climate Charlatans: Obama and Kerry](#)

Researcher hits media & admits West Antarctica ice sheet melt not traceable to humans! "I have a problem with the widespread implication (in the popular press) that the West Antarctic collapse can be attributed to anthropogenic climate change," said Mike Wolovik, a graduate researcher at Lamont-Doherty who studies ice sheet dynamics.

<http://phys.org/news/2014-05-clock-west-antarctic.html>

But another characteristic of groupthink that Janis doesn't fully explore in his book is that those caught up in these mindsets have never actually worked out their thinking on the subject for themselves. They have taken on their belief-system, and the reasons for supporting it, ready-made and wholesale from others. That is why it is impossible to have any intelligent dialogue with, say, zealots for man-made climate change or the European Union, because they have not really examined the evidence for themselves but have come to a set of opinions that are skin-deep and second-hand. They can only parrot the mantras they have picked up from others.

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/earth/environment/10853279/Key-to-the-modern-world-the-sinister-groupthink.html>

'Erosion of Antarctica's glaciers has proceeded unabated since the end of the last ice age and continued slowly through the past century to the present day. Passage of time, even millennia, would be required before enough ice melted to raise sea levels by the 12 to 13 feet mentioned in recent news accounts, assuming the rate of about 7 inches per century remains constant...Statements suggesting man-made carbon dioxide somehow triggers high-velocity circumpolar winds over the Southern Ocean is speculative for a region already notorious for high winds and treacherous seas.

[Geochemist: Chilling the melting Antarctic hysteria - 'Thawing of glaciers is historically followed by refreezing'](#)

Science regresses if it becomes intolerant of criticism. At the beginning of her reign, Queen Elizabeth I of England spoke words of tolerance in an age of religious strife, declaring that she had no intention of making windows into men's souls. Unlike religion, science is not a matter of the heart or of belief. It exists only in what can be demonstrated. In their persecution of an aged colleague who stepped out of line and their call for scientists to be subject to a faith test, 21st-century climate scientists have shown less tolerance than a 16th-century monarch.

There is something rotten in the state of climate science.

www.nationalreview.com/article/378011/science-mccarthyism-rupert-darwall

[Climategate 2014: 'The Scandal Grows' - 'Bengtsson's McCarthyite purging may one day come to be seen as the climate alarmists' 'Bridge Too Far' moment'](#)

AGW is 'the greatest and most expensive scientific scandal in history, in which a cabal of lavishly grant-funded, activist-scientists from Britain to Australia, Germany to the US, has exaggerated the evidence for "man-made global warming" and attempted ruthlessly to suppress the work of sceptical scientists who dispute the 'consensus.'

'If the climate establishment is really so sure of the solidity of the science underpinning its doomsday predictions, how come it needs to adopt such desperate, unethical and unscientific methods to shut out dissenting voices?' - 'Establishment climate science is little more than pseudo-science, propped up by bullying political activists, but unsupported by real-world data.'

[Scientists in cover-up of 'damaging' climate view](#)

[Another Dissenter: Geoscientist & former UN Consultant Dr. David Kear declares warming fears 'based on unfounded unscientific beliefs' - An 'innocent gas, CO2, has been demonized and criminalized'](#)

A "total distortion of the data." Politically funded." "Agenda driven."

The new White House report is a "horrid distortion of science," says Weather Channel Co-Founder John Coleman in his daily blog.

<http://colemancornerdotcomdotbr.wordpress.com/daily-blog/>

[Climatologist Dr. Judith Curry rips Obama climate report for 'false premise that any change in the 20th century has been caused by AGW. Worse yet is the spin being put on this by the Obama admin.'](#)

Curry: 'Some of the basic underlying climate science and impacts reported is contradictory to the recent IPCC AR5 reports...the phrase 'climate change' is now officially meaningless. The report effectively implies that there is no climate change other than what is caused by humans, and that extreme weather events are equivalent to climate change.

[Another Dissenter: Geoscientist & former UN Consultant Dr. David Kear declares warming fears 'based on unfounded unscientific beliefs' – An 'innocent gas, CO2, has been demonized and criminalized'](#)

[Global Warming is "Nonsense," says former NASA scientist](#)

"...the damage to our economy the climate change lobby is now costing us is infinitely more destructive to the livelihoods of our grand-children."

[Charles Krauthammer in Wash. Post warns of climate 'totalitarianism': 'The left is entering a new phase of ideological agitation — no longer trying to win the debate but stopping debate altogether, banishing from public discourse any and all opposition'](#)

Germany's Vice Chancellor Stuns, Declares Germany's Green energy revolution To Be On 'The Verge Of Failure'!

The green energy orgy in Germany is over. The music has stopped and the wine that once flowed freely has long run out. The green energy whores and pimps can go home.

In a stunning admission by Germany's Economics Minister and Vice Chancellor to Angela Merkel, Sigmar Gabriel announced in a recent speech that the country's once highly ballyhooed transformation to renewable energy, the so called Energiewende, a model that has been adopted by a number of countries worldwide, is "on the verge of failure".

Speaking at an event at SMA Solar, Germany's leading manufacturer of solar technology, Gabriel even dropped yet another admission bomb: "The truth is that in all fields we under-estimated the complexity of the Energiewende."

Gabriel is not only the national economics minister and vice chancellor to Angela Merkel, he is also head of Germany's socialist SPD party, which is now the coalition partner in Angela Merkel's CDU/SPD grand coalition government. Moreover Gabriel was once the country's environment minister and a devout believer in global warming and in Al Gore's Inconvenient Truth.

In the speech Gabriel tells the audience how the energy transformation is on the verge of failure: "Those who are the engines of the transformation to renewable energies, that's you, you don't see how close we are to the failure of the energy transformation."

<http://notrickszone.com/2014/04/27/angela-merkels-vice-chancellor-stuns-declares-germanys-energiewende-to-be-on-the-verge-of-failure/>

[Harvard Univ. Prof. On UN IPCC: 'Serious 'conflict of interest' between scientists and governments' – Top climate expert's sensational claim of government meddling in crucial UN report – UN Lead Author Robert Stavins 'was one of only two scientists present, surrounded by '45 or 50' government officials'](#)

Retired NASA Scientist Dr. Leonard Weinstein declared that the global warming doctrine had no clothes [back in 2009](#). Weinstein worked 35 years at the NASA Langley Research Center, finishing his career there as a Senior Research Scientist.

So it is good to hear that he is still going strong. The dialogue below is from a recent email correspondence with Rick Loberger

Weinstein: "I retired from NASA in 2007, and this blog was posted 2009. However, there was not any NASA policy on the issue. In fact, many of the top NASA people are skeptics of significant human caused global warming. This includes the former NASA administrator, Michael Griffin, many of the astronauts such as Buzz Aldrin and Harrison Schmitt and several others, and many of the original Apollo support team. In fact a group of them sent a letter to congress objecting to the government position.

In addition, several petitions were made by thousands of scientists (over 30,000 in one case including 9,000 PhD's) that gave skeptics positions. I am enclosing some lists in a zip file, including a more recent ppt by Burt Rutan with more info.

The main stream media and government, and many collages, have fed a one sided position that makes most people think the issue is settled, but that is a big lie. I, as well as many of the world's top scientists consider the current positions to be one of the biggest scientific scandals in history, where some well meaning scientists jumped to a conclusion, and socialist leaning governments jumped on board and started to force the issue.

Keep in mind that essentially no proposal to prove AGW wrong is funded or allowed to be published, so all proposals and funding is directed to support a lie that is becoming impossible to maintain.

In a few years, the issue will explode. I do expect a modest ENSO to occur this year, so a small temperature spike will likely occur. However this will probably be followed by La Nina (resulting in cooling), and the average global temperature by 2020 will likely be flat to down for over 20 years. This would support the claims of human caused problems as being wrong.

[Warmist claim: 'Sea level rise is already happening, and its continuation is inevitable' - Reality Check: 'Sea level has been rising for 20,000 years - when it was 120 metres lower and people walked across the Bering Strait. It has nothing to do with Mann-made CO2'](#)

There are considerable difficulties in measuring sea level -- particularly when changes are small. A big issue is whether land itself is also rising or falling. Swedish sea-level expert Prof. Nils Axel Mörner has been working on the problems involved for many years. In his latest paper he has found a geologically very stable area that includes 3 tide gauges. Using these gauges, he shows that sea levels have risen only about half an inch over the last 125 years.

<http://www.as-se.org/gpg/paperInfo.aspx?ID=16723>

<http://drtimball.com/2014/climate-alarmism-of-course-the-ipcc-was-designed-to-create-and-promote-it-2/>

Sir John Houghton, formerly head of the UK Met Office (UKMO) and first Co-Chair of the IPCC denies saying "Unless we announce disasters, no one will listen." A vigorous campaign was launched to claim he did not say it. Why? Because it was the standard established along with the transition of the 1995 Report to a purely political objective. In the forefront of that campaign was Bob Ward, former employee of the Royal Society. Yes, the same Ward who launched the recent shameful attack on Richard Tol for quitting the IPCC because of alarmism. Ward's rigorous defence of Houghton smacked of protesting too much, especially since it happened four years after it was first cited.

It is fitting that those chosen to raise the recent IPCC alarmism to another level were identified by [Rob Jordan's WUWT article](#) as a group from Stanford University led by Chris Field. Stephen Schneider of Stanford set the tone and justification for deception in his comment to Discover magazine in 1988.

And like most people, we'd like to see the world a better place, which in this context translates into our working to reduce the risk of potentially disastrous climate change.

To do that we have to get some broad-based support, to capture the public's imagination. That, of course, entails getting loads of media coverage. So we have to offer up scary scenarios, make simplified, dramatic statements, and make little mention of any doubts we might have. This double ethical bind which we frequently find ourselves in cannot be solved by any formula... Each of us has to decide what the right balance is between being effective and being honest. There is no decision. Schneider was involved from the start and remained involved, especially when the IPCC deception was failing. These comments parallel the argument of the end justifying means more formally justified because of peer-review in the recent article [Information Manipulation and Climate Agreements](#).

The IPCC was and remains about alarmism. Fortunately, the blindness of 'the end justifies the means' approach results in extremism. That makes people look more closely and they are finding, as did Klaus-Eckert Puls, that the IPCC claims and methods do not bear investigation. Unfortunately, they will not abandon the strategy because it has been effective, so the cost of lies, deceptions and alarmism will continue.

Stossel: 'Reporters don't realize that those scientists, like bird flu specialists, have every incentive to hype the risk. If their computer models (which so far have been wrong) predict disaster, they get attention and money. If they say, "I'm not sure," they get nothing. Also, the IPCC is not just a panel of scientists. It's an intergovernmental panel. It's a bureaucracy controlled by the sort of people who once ran for student council and are "exhilarated by the prospect of putting the thumb of the federal government on the scale."

'Symbolic. Environmentalism is now more religion than science. It even comes with built-in doomsday stories to warn people about what will happen if they disobey -- a bit like the movie "Noah" that's in theaters now.'

[John Stossel: 'Why I'm cheering for fossil fuels this Earth Day'](#)

Thought police on patrol - By Charles Krauthammer

Two months ago, a petition bearing more than 110,000 signatures was delivered to The Washington Post demanding a ban on any article questioning global warming. The petition arrived the day before publication of my column, which consisted of precisely that heresy.

The column ran as usual. But I was gratified by the show of intolerance because it perfectly illustrated my argument that the left is entering a new phase of ideological agitation -- no longer trying to win the debate but stopping debate altogether, banishing from public discourse any and all opposition.

The proper word for that attitude is totalitarian. It declares certain controversies over and visits serious consequences -- from social ostracism to vocational defenestration -- upon those who refuse to be silenced.

www.oregonlive.com/opinion/index.ssf/2014/04/thought_police_on_patrol_charl.html

[Aussie Geologist Dr. Robert Carter: 'The IPCC has accomplished the inversion of the null hypothesis, where the onus is now on disproving dangerous AGW'](#)

Climatologist Dr. Judith Curry: 'It is gratifying to see leading scientists and thinkers 'stepping off the reservation' to provide interpretations of climate science and thoughts on how we should respond, that differ from the IPCC assessments and the more alarmist interpretations. It is unfortunate that it seems to be primarily the independent scientists and retired scientists that are doing this; government employees in many countries would not do this (even if their personal convictions differ from the IPCC consensus), and the same seems to be true for most scientists employed by universities. This is a very unhealthy situation particularly for universities.'

[New paper finds current abrupt changes in Arctic are typical of the past 66 million years – Published in Quaternary Science Reviews](#)

Study demonstrates that the rapid changes in the Arctic which have been blamed on man by alarmists are in fact within the norm of frequent, large and abrupt changes for the entire Cenozoic Era [past 66 million years]. According to the paper, "Globally, the general trend of increasing air surface temperature over the last 15 years has slowed in recent years, and is currently four times less than predicted by simulations [of the latest IPCC climate models]"

A quick update for sea ice extent for day 130 of 2014:

Global Sea Ice Extent is 785,000 sq km above the 1981-2010 mean.

Antarctic Sea Ice Extent is 1,396,000 sq km above the 1981-2010 mean.

Arctic Sea Ice Extent is -612,000 sq km below the 1981-2010 mean.

<http://sunshinehours.wordpress.com/2014/05/11/sea-ice-update-may-11-2014-antarctic-63rd-daily-record-of-2014-global-sea-ice-785000-sq-km-above-normal>

Also: <http://stevengoddard.wordpress.com/2014/05/08/may-5-global-sea-ice-area-second-highest-on-record/>

Climate Vacuum Cleaner - *That all the earth's grasslands and forests are already a great CO2 vacuum cleaner the Warmists seem to have forgotten. Do they intend to duplicate all of our grasslands and forests?* Just when we thought the UN couldn't get any more ridiculous in its climate change warnings and prescriptions, they exceed our expectations. According to UPI, the third report in a series from the UN's Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) "suggests vacuuming up vast amounts of CO2 from the skies and storing it underground" as a "viable solution for mitigating the greenhouse gas effect in the short term." That would have to be quite a Dirt Devil. But they're serious, they insist, that something must be done. IPCC chair Rajendra Pachauri says, "The high speed mitigation train needs to leave the station very soon and all of global society would need to get on board".

Uniformity or bust, that's the climate alarmists' way. But if you'll pardon the pun, we think this idea sucks. <http://patriotpost.us/posts/2493>

['The game is up for climate change believers'](#)

'The origins of warmism lie in a cocktail of ideas which includes anti-industrial nature worship, post-colonial guilt, a post-Enlightenment belief in scientists as a new priesthood of the truth, a hatred of population growth, a revulsion against the widespread increase in wealth and a belief in world government. It involves a fondness for predicting that energy supplies won't last much longer'

[Michael Mann claim about Sandy dismantled: Mann: 'That 13-foot surge that flooded a large part of Manhattan, at least one of those 13 feet was due to human caused global warming](#)

Real Science reality check on Mann's claim: 'The tide gauge measurement of sea level at Lower Manhattan has been increasing linearly for over 150 years, with the peak slope around 1940. There isn't one shred of evidence that CO2 emissions have affected sea level in New York, much less raised sea level by one foot. His claim has zero scientific basis'

[Freeman Dyson — the world-renowned mathematical physicist: 'I have strong views about climate because I think the majority is badly wrong'](#)

Dyson: Global warming is 'the only field in which I'm opposed to the majority. Generally speaking, I'm much more of a conformist, but it happens I have strong views about climate because I think the majority is badly wrong, and you have to make sure if the majority is saying something that they're not talking nonsense.'

[Lead author of UN climate report removes name from report: Says colleagues 'drifted too far to the alarmist side' & were likening climate change to the 'Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse'](#)

CO₂ does not control the climate.

The theory of dangerous anthropogenic (man-made) global warming was invented in the early 1980s and describes Earth as some virtual planet where temperatures have oscillated +/- 0.6°C around the mean of 14.0°C since the 1880s. All temperatures below 14.0°C (13.4°C to 14.0°C) were declared 'normal' by a clique of climate scientists, while temperatures between 14.0°C to 14.6°C were deemed 'abnormal'. In effect, all life on this virtual planet exists at total range of 1.2°C. However, all the 'evidence' for global warming is based on a purely theoretical number called 'global average temperature,' which is yet another proxy thermometer used by all climate scientists and related papers published since 1980.

In conclusion: In terms of the importance of the three molecules, N₂, O₂ and H₂O this paper has simply quantified the physical connection and interplay between those three molecules. If it was not for the heat capacity of N₂ and O₂, water molecules would evaporate completely from our planet and there would be no life.

However, H₂O in tandem with N₂ and O₂ is controlling the amount of the heat energy received from the sun ensuring that the Earth does not overheat during the day and does not overcool overnight, a fact known to physical sciences long before the 'invention' of man-made global warming. <http://www.principia-scientific.org/new-paper-ground-thermometers-prove-h2o-n2-and-o2-control-climate-not-co2.html>

New paper finds no effect of 'acidification' on plankton from CO₂ levels 8 times higher than today – Published in Biogeosciences. Study 'finds that prior claims about the effects of ocean "acidification" on calcifying plankton are highly exaggerated because the artificial laboratory conditions utilized do not correctly simulate the effects in natural seawater. The authors find exposure of the plankton to "acidification" from elevated CO₂ concentrations of up to 3247 ppm [over 8 times higher than the present] had no effect on the life cycle (population density, growth and reproduction) of calcifying plankton when natural buffering sediment was present in the experiment. The paper adds to several others invalidating the vast prior literature on the effects of "acidification" as overblown due to biased, artificial laboratory conditions [often just putting sulfuric acid in an aquarium] that don't correctly simulate the buffering effects of a natural environment.'

<http://hockeyschtick.blogspot.com/2014/03/new-paper-finds-no-effect-of.html>

Thank you for your attention.

If you're still wondering why the earth is supposedly 30°C "warmer than it should be", then you need to know that in order to reach that conclusion the earth must be regarded as a [flat disc with a cool sun shining 24 hours day](#).

The so-called "settled science" has been [found to be incorrect](#) but by now there's too much money and too many academic egos riding on the falsehood to correct it.

Here's a short selection of sites to help you get the facts straight:

<http://www.ilovemycarbon dioxide.com>

<http://principia-scientific.org>

<http://antigreen.blogspot.co.uk>

<http://climateofsophistry.com>

<http://www.slayingtheskydragon.com>

<http://www.tech-know-group.com>

<http://www.climatedepot.com>

<http://www.iceagenow.info>

<http://hockeyschtick.blogspot.co.uk>

<http://carbon-sense.com>
